Case: 1:17-cr-00634 Document #: 10 Filed: 10/06/17 Page 1 of 20 PageID #:23

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

OCT 6 2017 Y M
THOMAS G. BRUTON
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

No. 17 CR 634

V.

Judge Thomas M. Durkin

**BILAL BASRAI** 

## **PLEA AGREEMENT**

1. This Plea Agreement between the Acting United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, JOEL R. LEVIN, and defendant BILAL BASRAI, and his attorney, THOMAS LEINENWEBER, is made pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The parties to this Agreement have agreed upon the following:

## **Charge in This Case**

- 2. The information in this case charges defendant with securities fraud, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78(ff) and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5.
- 3. Defendant has read the charge against him contained in the information, and that charge has been fully explained to him by his attorney.
- 4. Defendant fully understands the nature and elements of the crime with which he has been charged.

# **Charge to Which Defendant Is Pleading Guilty**

5. By this Plea Agreement, defendant agrees to enter a voluntary plea of guilty to the information, which charges defendant with securities fraud, in violation of Title 15,

United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78(ff) and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5.

#### **Factual Basis**

6. Defendant will plead guilty because he is in fact guilty of the charge contained in the information. In pleading guilty, defendant admits the following facts and that those facts establish his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt and constitute relevant conduct pursuant to Guideline § 1B1.3:

Beginning no later than January 2014, and continuing through in or about July 2014, at Naperville and Lisle, in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, and elsewhere, defendant BILAL BASRAI, directly and indirectly, by the use of means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, willfully used and employed, in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, a manipulative and deceptive device and contrivance, in contravention of Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, by: (a) employing a device and scheme to defraud; and (b) engaging in an act, practice, and a course of business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon any person, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff(a).

More specifically, during the time period relevant to this case, on three occasions defendant traded on the basis of material, non-public information, at a time when he knew he was under a duty to protect the confidentiality of the information and not use it to trade stock. One of the three instances of trading involved information defendant received about

an upcoming secondary stock offering by Company B, which information was given to defendant under a condition of confidentiality. The other two instances dealt with information defendant received as part of his employment at Company A, where he served as the Managing Director of Investment Banking.

Trading based on material, non-public information regarding Company B

On or about May 1, 2014, Individual A, an employee of an investment banking firm that was underwriting Company B's secondary stock offering, contacted defendant by phone. During that phone call, Individual A gave defendant material, non-public information about Company B, namely, that Company B was planning a secondary stock offering. Individual A communicated this information to defendant in order to learn whether he was interested in investing in the secondary stock offering. Based on the conversation and his experience in investment banking, defendant believed that this proposed secondary stock offering was likely to be at a price that was less than the price at which Company B's existing stock was currently trading.

Shortly after the conversation, Individual A sent defendant an email titled "Confidentiality and Non-Disclosure Agreement." The email memorialized that defendant had agreed, among other things, to not disclose any of the confidential information he learned about Company B and to not engage in any market transactions involving Company B's stock based on the information that was provided to him. The email referenced defendant's "obligations under applicable securities laws, including the obligation not to

trade on the basis of material, non-public information." Defendant responded to the email shortly after it was sent with one word: "Affirmative."

On May 2, 2014, the day after defendant received and responded to the email from Individual A, he began short selling Company B's stock. Short selling consisted of borrowing a stock to sell on condition that the stock be repurchased and returned at a later date. Someone engaging in short selling could make money if the stock price went down after they borrowed and sold the stock because they would be able to purchase the stock in the open market for a price lower than that for which they sold it.

Defendant short sold Company B's stock because he believed the secondary stock offering would be at a discount from the price of Company B's existing stock, which would then cause the price of Company B's existing stock to go down when the news of the secondary stock offering became public. In total, on May 2, 2014, defendant short sold 40,000 shares of Company B stock, at prices ranging from \$1.92 to \$1.75 per share.

On or about May 5, 2015, Company B publicly announced the secondary stock offering at which new stock would be sold for \$1.15 per share, a significant discount from Company B's existing stock price of approximately \$1.75 per share. On the day after this public announcement, defendant purchased 40,000 shares of Company B stock at \$1.15 per share to cover his short position, resulting in illegal profits to defendant of approximately \$32,532. Defendant purchased the 40,000 shares through his brokerage

account, which he accessed by willfully using and causing the use of a means and instrumentality of interstate commerce, namely, the internet.

Trading related to information obtained as part of defendant's employment

As noted above, during the time relevant to this case defendant served as the Managing Director of Investment Banking at Company A, which was located in Chicago, Illinois. Company A had a written policy expressly forbidding its employees from trading stock on the basis of material, non-public information learned as part of their job. As a result of this policy, defendant owed Company A a duty of trust and confidence to maintain the confidentiality of material, non-public information he learned as part of his job, and was prohibited from trading stock based on that information.

On two occasions during his employment at Company A, defendant used material, non-public information he learned as part of his job to purchase and sell securities. The first occasion took place in January 2014. On January 10, 2014, defendant learned that Company C, an investment banking client of Company A, was planning to file an SEC Form 8-K on January 13, 2014, announcing that it had acquired in-licensing rights to a drug used to treat Parkinson's disease. As a result of learning this information, and before it became available to the public, defendant purchased a total of 56,305 shares of Company C stock at prices ranging from \$0.083 to \$0.085 per share. On January 14, 2014, after Company C publicly announced its in-licensing agreement, defendant sold all of his shares

at prices ranging from \$0.0917 to \$0.0921 per share, resulting in illegal profits to defendant of approximately \$413.

In addition, in July 2014, defendant received confidential, non-public information about Company D, another investment banking client of Company A. In early 2014, as part of his job at Company A, defendant learned that on July 8, 2014, an entity affiliated with Company A was going to release a research report about Company D that would cover Company D in a positive manner likely to raise its stock price. Defendant knew that the release date and contents of this research report was material, non-public information. Moreover, he knew he owed Company A a duty not to trade based on that information because he learned it as part of his job in providing investment banking services to Company D.

As a result of the material, non-public information he had obtained, and notwithstanding the duty he owed to Company A, on July 7, 2014, defendant purchased 25,000 shares of Company D stock at prices ranging from \$2.19 per share to \$2.25 per share. Company D's stock price went up following the public release of the report. Defendant then sold his shares on July 9, 2014, at \$2.40 per share, resulting in illegal profits to defendant of approximately \$4,212.

7. The foregoing facts are set forth solely to assist the Court in determining whether a factual basis exists for defendant's plea of guilty and are not intended to be a

complete or comprehensive statement of all the facts within defendant's personal knowledge regarding the charged crime and related conduct.

## **Maximum Statutory Penalties**

- 8. Defendant understands that the charge to which he is pleading guilty carries the following statutory penalties:
- a. A maximum sentence of 20 years' imprisonment. This offense also carries a maximum fine of \$5,000,000. Defendant further understands that the judge also may impose a term of supervised release of not more than three years.
- b. Defendant further understands that the Court must order restitution to the victims of the offense in an amount determined by the Court unless it determines that restitution is not applicable because the number of identifiable victims is so large as to make restitution impracticable or determining complex issues of fact related to the cause or amount of the victim losses would complicate or prolong the sentencing process to a degree that the need to provide restitution to any victim is outweighed by the burden on the sentencing process.
- c. In accord with Title 18, United States Code, Section 3013, defendant will be assessed \$100 on the charge to which he has pled guilty, in addition to any other penalty or restitution imposed.

## **Sentencing Guidelines Calculations**

- 9. Defendant understands that in determining a sentence, the Court is obligated to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and other sentencing factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), which include: (i) the nature and circumstances of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant; (ii) the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the law, and provide just punishment for the offense, afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protect the public from further crimes of the defendant, and provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner; (iii) the kinds of sentences available; (iv) the need to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct; and (v) the need to provide restitution to any victim of the offense.
- 10. For purposes of calculating the Sentencing Guidelines, the parties agree on the following points:
- a. **Applicable Guidelines**. The Sentencing Guidelines to be considered in this case are those in effect at the time of sentencing. The following statements regarding the calculation of the Sentencing Guidelines are based on the Guidelines Manual currently in effect, namely the November 2016 Guidelines Manual.

#### b. Offense Level Calculations.

- i. The base offense level is 8, pursuant to Guideline § 2B1.4.
- ii. Pursuant to Guideline §§ 2B1.4(b)(1) and 2B1.1(b)(1)(C), the base offense level is increased by 4 levels because the gain to defendant as a result of the instant offense and relevant conduct is approximately \$37,157, which is greater than \$15,000 but less than \$40,000.
- iii. Defendant has clearly demonstrated a recognition and affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for his criminal conduct. If the government does not receive additional evidence in conflict with this provision, and if defendant continues to accept responsibility for his actions within the meaning of Guideline § 3E1.1(a), including by furnishing the United States Attorney's Office and the Probation Office with all requested financial information relevant to his ability to satisfy any fine or restitution that may be imposed in this case, a two-level reduction in the offense level is appropriate.
- iv. In accord with Guideline § 3E1.1(b), defendant has timely notified the government of his intention to enter a plea of guilty, thereby permitting the government to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently. Therefore, as provided by Guideline § 3E1.1(b), if the Court determines the offense level to be 16 or greater prior to determining that defendant is entitled to a two-

level reduction for acceptance of responsibility, the government will move for an additional one-level reduction in the offense level.

- c. Criminal History Category. With regard to determining defendant's criminal history points and criminal history category, based on the facts now known to the government, defendant's criminal history points equal zero and defendant's criminal history category is I.
- d. Anticipated Advisory Sentencing Guidelines Range. Therefore, based on the facts now known to the government, the anticipated offense level is 10, which, when combined with the anticipated criminal history category of I, results in an anticipated advisory sentencing guidelines range of 6 to 12 months' imprisonment, in addition to any supervised release, fine, and restitution the Court may impose.
- e. Defendant and his attorney and the government acknowledge that the above guidelines calculations are preliminary in nature, and are non-binding predictions upon which neither party is entitled to rely. Defendant understands that further review of the facts or applicable legal principles may lead the government to conclude that different or additional guidelines provisions apply in this case. Defendant understands that the Probation Office will conduct its own investigation and that the Court ultimately determines the facts and law relevant to sentencing, and that the Court's determinations govern the final guideline calculation. Accordingly, the validity of this Agreement is not contingent upon the probation officer's or the Court's concurrence with the above

calculations, and defendant shall not have a right to withdraw his plea on the basis of the Court's rejection of these calculations.

f. Both parties expressly acknowledge that this Agreement is not governed by Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B), and that errors in applying or interpreting any of the sentencing guidelines may be corrected by either party prior to sentencing. The parties may correct these errors either by stipulation or by a statement to the Probation Office or the Court, setting forth the disagreement regarding the applicable provisions of the guidelines. The validity of this Agreement will not be affected by such corrections, and defendant shall not have a right to withdraw his plea, nor the government the right to vacate this Agreement, on the basis of such corrections.

## Cooperation

11. Defendant agrees he will fully and truthfully cooperate in any matter in which he is called upon to cooperate by a representative of the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Illinois. This cooperation shall include providing complete and truthful information in any investigation and pre-trial preparation and complete and truthful testimony in any criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding.

# Agreements Relating to Sentencing

12. At the time of sentencing, the government shall make known to the sentencing judge the extent of defendant's cooperation. If the government determines that defendant has continued to provide full and truthful cooperation as required by this

Agreement, then the government shall move the Court, pursuant to Guideline § 5Kl.l, to depart downward from the low end of the applicable guideline range. Defendant shall be free to recommend any sentence. Defendant understands that the decision to depart from the applicable guideline range rests solely with the Court.

- 13. If the government does not move the Court, pursuant to Guideline § 5K1.1, to depart from the applicable guideline range, as set forth above, the preceding paragraph of this Agreement will be inoperative, both parties shall be free to recommend any sentence, and the Court shall impose a sentence taking into consideration the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) as well as the Sentencing Guidelines without any downward departure for cooperation pursuant to § 5K1.1. Defendant may not withdraw his plea of guilty because the government has failed to make a motion pursuant to Guideline § 5K1.1.
- 14. It is understood by the parties that the sentencing judge is neither a party to nor bound by this Agreement and may impose a sentence up to the maximum penalties as set forth above. Defendant further acknowledges that if the Court does not accept the sentencing recommendation of the parties, defendant will have no right to withdraw his guilty plea.
- 15. Regarding restitution, the parties agree that restitution is not applicable because the number of identifiable victims is so large as to make restitution impracticable and determining complex issues of fact related to the cause or amount of the victim losses

would complicate or prolong the sentencing process to a degree that the need to provide restitution to any victim is outweighed by the burden on the sentencing process.

- 16. Defendant agrees to pay the special assessment of \$100 at the time of sentencing with a cashier's check or money order payable to the Clerk of the U.S. District Court.
- 17. Defendant agrees that the United States may enforce collection of any fine or restitution imposed in this case pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3572, 3613, and 3664(m), notwithstanding any payment schedule set by the Court.

# Acknowledgments and Waivers Regarding Plea of Guilty

## **Nature of Agreement**

- 18. This Agreement is entirely voluntary and represents the entire agreement between the United States Attorney and defendant regarding defendant's criminal liability in case 17 CR 634
- 19. This Agreement concerns criminal liability only. Except as expressly set forth in this Agreement, nothing herein shall constitute a limitation, waiver, or release by the United States or any of its agencies of any administrative or judicial civil claim, demand, or cause of action it may have against defendant or any other person or entity. The obligations of this Agreement are limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Illinois and cannot bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities, except as expressly set forth in this Agreement.

## Waiver of Rights

- 20. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he surrenders certain rights, including the following:
- a. **Right to be charged by indictment**. Defendant understands that he has a right to have the charge prosecuted by an indictment returned by a concurrence of twelve or more members of a grand jury consisting of not less than sixteen and not more than twenty-three members. By signing this Agreement, defendant knowingly waives his right to be prosecuted by indictment and to assert at trial or on appeal any defects or errors arising from the information, the information process, or the fact that he has been prosecuted by way of information.
- b. **Trial rights**. Defendant has the right to persist in a plea of not guilty to the charge against him, and if he does, he would have the right to a public and speedy trial.
- i. The trial could be either a jury trial or a trial by the judge sitting without a jury. However, in order that the trial be conducted by the judge sitting without a jury, defendant, the government, and the judge all must agree that the trial be conducted by the judge without a jury.
- ii. If the trial is a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve citizens from the district, selected at random. Defendant and his attorney would participate in choosing the jury by requesting that the Court remove prospective jurors for cause where

actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or by removing prospective jurors without cause by exercising peremptory challenges.

- iii. If the trial is a jury trial, the jury would be instructed that defendant is presumed innocent, that the government has the burden of proving defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and that the jury could not convict him unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. The jury would have to agree unanimously before it could return a verdict of guilty or not guilty.
- iv. If the trial is held by the judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and determine, after hearing all the evidence, whether or not the judge was persuaded that the government had established defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- v. At a trial, whether by a jury or a judge, the government would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against defendant. Defendant would be able to confront those government witnesses and his attorney would be able to cross-examine them.
- vi. At a trial, defendant could present witnesses and other evidence in his own behalf. If the witnesses for defendant would not appear voluntarily, he could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court. A defendant is not required to present any evidence.

vii. At a trial, defendant would have a privilege against self-incrimination so that he could decline to testify, and no inference of guilt could be drawn from his refusal to testify. If defendant desired to do so, he could testify in his own behalf.

Waiver of appellate and collateral rights. Defendant further c. understands he is waiving all appellate issues that might have been available if he had exercised his right to trial. Defendant is aware that Title 28, United States Code, Section 1291, and Title 18. United States Code, Section 3742, afford a defendant the right to appeal his conviction and the sentence imposed. Acknowledging this, if the government makes a motion at sentencing for a downward departure pursuant to Guideline § 5K1.1, defendant knowingly waives the right to appeal his conviction, any pre-trial rulings by the Court, and any part of the sentence (or the manner in which that sentence was determined), including any term of imprisonment and fine within the maximums provided by law, and including any order of restitution, in exchange for the concessions made by the United States in this Agreement. In addition, if the government makes a motion at sentencing for a downward departure pursuant to Guideline § 5K1.1, defendant also waives his right to challenge his conviction and sentence, and the manner in which the sentence was determined, in any collateral attack or future challenge, including but not limited to a motion brought under Title 28, United States Code, Section 2255. The waiver in this paragraph does not apply to a claim of involuntariness or ineffective assistance of counsel, nor does it prohibit defendant from seeking a reduction of sentence based directly on a change in the law that is applicable to defendant and that, prior to the filing of defendant's request for relief, has been expressly made retroactive by an Act of Congress, the Supreme Court, or the United States Sentencing Commission.

21. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he is waiving all the rights set forth in the prior paragraphs. Defendant's attorney has explained those rights to him, and the consequences of his waiver of those rights.

## Presentence Investigation Report/Post-Sentence Supervision

- 22. Defendant understands that the United States Attorney's Office in its submission to the Probation Office as part of the Pre-Sentence Report and at sentencing shall fully apprise the District Court and the Probation Office of the nature, scope, and extent of defendant's conduct regarding the charge against him, and related matters. The government will make known all matters in aggravation and mitigation relevant to sentencing, including the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.
- 23. Defendant agrees to truthfully and completely execute a Financial Statement (with supporting documentation) prior to sentencing, to be provided to and shared among the Court, the Probation Office, and the United States Attorney's Office regarding all details of his financial circumstances, including his recent income tax returns as specified by the probation officer. Defendant understands that providing false or incomplete information, or refusing to provide this information, may be used as a basis for denial of a reduction for acceptance of responsibility pursuant to Guideline § 3E1.1 and enhancement

of his sentence for obstruction of justice under Guideline § 3C1.1, and may be prosecuted as a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001 or as a contempt of the Court.

24. For the purpose of monitoring defendant's compliance with his obligations to pay a fine and restitution during any term of supervised release or probation to which defendant is sentenced, defendant further consents to the disclosure by the IRS to the Probation Office and the United States Attorney's Office of defendant's individual income tax returns (together with extensions, correspondence, and other tax information) filed subsequent to defendant's sentencing, to and including the final year of any period of supervised release or probation to which defendant is sentenced. Defendant also agrees that a certified copy of this Agreement shall be sufficient evidence of defendant's request to the IRS to disclose the returns and return information, as provided for in Title 26, United States Code, Section 6103(b).

#### **Other Terms**

- 25. Defendant agrees to cooperate with the United States Attorney's Office in collecting any unpaid fine and restitution for which defendant is liable, including providing financial statements and supporting records as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.
- 26. Defendant understands that, if convicted, a defendant who is not a United States citizen may be removed from the United States, denied citizenship, and denied admission to the United States in the future.

#### Conclusion

- 27. Defendant understands that this Agreement will be filed with the Court, will become a matter of public record, and may be disclosed to any person.
- 28. Defendant understands that his compliance with each part of this Agreement extends throughout the period of his sentence, and failure to abide by any term of the Agreement is a violation of the Agreement. Defendant further understands that in the event he violates this Agreement, the government, at its option, may move to vacate the Agreement, rendering it null and void, and thereafter prosecute defendant not subject to any of the limits set forth in this Agreement, or may move to resentence defendant or require defendant's specific performance of this Agreement. Defendant understands and agrees that in the event that the Court permits defendant to withdraw from this Agreement, or defendant breaches any of its terms and the government elects to void the Agreement and prosecute defendant, any prosecutions that are not time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations on the date of the signing of this Agreement may be commenced against defendant in accordance with this paragraph, notwithstanding the expiration of the statute of limitations between the signing of this Agreement and the commencement of such prosecutions.
- 29. Should the judge refuse to accept defendant's plea of guilty, this Agreement shall become null and void and neither party will be bound to it.

- 30. Defendant and his attorney acknowledge that no threats, promises, or representations have been made, nor agreements reached, other than those set forth in this Agreement, to cause defendant to plead guilty.
- 31. Defendant acknowledges that he has read this Agreement and carefully reviewed each provision with his attorney. Defendant further acknowledges that he understands and voluntarily accepts each and every term and condition of this Agreement.

AGREED THIS DATE: 10/6/2017

JOEL R. LEVIN

Acting United States Attorney

JASON A. YONAN

Assistant U.S. Attorney

BILAL BASRA

Defendant

THOMAS LEINENWEBER

Attorney for Defendant